REVIEW of the article for the scientific journal

LEX PORTUS

National University "Odessa Law Academy", Public Organization "Marine association "Saint Nicholas"

ISSN 2524-101X (print); ISSN 2617-541X (online)

The title of the article:			
Remarks of Reviewer			
Evaluation of the Article			
Formulation of scientific research problem, aim and tasks	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		
Evaluation of the chosen problem analysis	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		
Presentation of research methodology and methods	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		
Correlation of conclusions with the scientific problem, formulated tasks, presented and reasoned research results of the article	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		
List of references (no less than 20)	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		
Comprehensiveness of analysis of the chosen scientific problem	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		
Application of the used research methods	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		
Argumentation of the basic statements	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 		

	d completeness of the and recommendations	 □ Acceptable □ Discussible □ Needs partial corrections □ Needs considerable corrections □ Unacceptable 	
□ Accept□ Accept□ Accept	unconditionally with some changes; rep after required changes (please give comments i		
	_	tion: blem, aim and tasks. Evaluation of the chosen problem analysis.	
Presentation of research methodology and methods. Application of the used research methods.			
Correlation of conclusions with the formulated tasks, presented and reasoned research results.			
Clearness and completeness of the conclusions and recommendations.			
••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Reviewer:	(signature)	(Name, Surname, Scientific Degree and Title)	
Date:			